UNITED STATES DIST SOUTHERN DISTRICT		·	
Al Geyoso,			
	Plaintiff(s),	08 Civ. 16	24 (CM) (RLE)
-against-			
The City New York,			USDS SDNY DOCUMENT
	Dofendant(s)X		ELECTRONICALLY FILED
		x	DOC#:
			DATE FILED: 3 17 08
 This case is Discovery pure 	s subject to the Private So to be tried to a jury. suant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(parties may be joined after	a) shall be exchanged	,
	ay be amended after	May 9, 2008	 ,
Supreme Court's observed discovery is conducted, a immunity must comply wrules, which can be found failure to proceed the right to move for judge.	ation that the issue of qual counsel representing any d with the special procedure i at www.nysd.uscouru.gov I in accordance with the q pnent on the ground of qu	lified immunity should belondent who intends set forth in Judge Mo	to claim qualified
any party who is moving. 6. All discovery, i	to dismiss on qualified in Including expert discovery	, •	on or before

August 25, 2008 . (For personal injury, civil rights, employment discrimination or medical malpractice cases only): Plaintiff's deposition shall be taken first, and shall be completed by May 30, 2008 . PLEASE NOTE: the phrase "all discovery,

Case 1:08-cv-01624-CM Document 3 Filed 02/25/2008 Page 3 of 4

including expert discovery" means that the parties	s must select	and discle	ose their experts'
identities and ophnions, as required by Fed. R. Civ	v. P. 26(a)(2))(B), ₩¢ <i>ll'</i> .	before the explination of
the discovery period. Expert disclosures conform	ing with Rul	ट 26 क्रा फार	be made no later than the
following dates: Plaintiff(s) expert report(s) by	June 20		; Defendant(s)
expect report(s) by July 31, 2008			

- 7. Judge McMahon's Rules governing electronic discovery apply automatically to this case. The porties must comply with those rules unless they supercede it with a consent order. The text of the order will be found at www.nysd.useourts.gov.
- 8. This case has been designated to the Hon. United States Magistrate Ronald L. Ellis for resolution of discovery disputes. Do not contact Judge McMahon about discovery disputes; go directly to your assigned Magistrate Judge. Discovery disputes do not result in any extension of the discovery deadline or trial-ready date, and Judge McMahon must approve any extension of the discovery deadline in non-pro 2c cases. The Magistrate Judge cannot change discovery deadlines unless you agree to transfer the case to the Magistrate Judge for all purposes. Judge McMahon does not routinely grant extensions so counsel are warned that it they wait until the last minute to bring discovery disputes to the attention of the Magistrate Judge, they may find themselves precluded from taking discovery because they have run out of time.
- 9. A joint pre-trial order in the form pre-scribed in Judge McMahon's individual rules, together with all other pre-trial submissions required by those rules (not including in limins motions), shall be submitted on or before Occ. 10, 2008 Following submission of the joint pre-trial order, coursel will be notified of the date of the final pre-trial conference. In liming motions must be filed within five days of receiving notice of the final pre-trial conference; responses to in liming motions are due five days after the motions are made. Cases may be called for trial at any time following the final pre-trial conference.
- 10. No motion for summary judgment may be served after the date the pre-trial order is due. The filing of a motion for summary judgment does not relieve the parties of the obligation to file the pre-trial order and other pre-trial submissions on the assigned date.
- 11. The parties may at any time consent to have this case tried before the assigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 636(c).

12. This scheduling order may be altered or amended only on a showing of good cause that is not foreseeable at the time this order is entered. Counsel should not assume that extensions will be granted as a matter of routine.

Dated: March / 2008 New York, New York

Upon consent of the parties: [signatures of all counsel]

Bernard D. Friedman (8F 9214)

FETEDMAN & JAMES LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff Anobel David [AV4195]
NEW YORK CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
Attorneys for Defendant

United States District Judge